

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,306	WARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J. Smith	3637	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Matthew J. Smith, examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) David M. Ostfeld, attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2007

Time: 10:30 E

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n.a.

Claims discussed:

n.a.

Prior art documents discussed:

n.a.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Smith contacted Mr. Ostfeld on 28 March, and 5, 6, & 16 April asking if an Appeal Brief has been filed. Mr. Ostfeld replied a request for continuing examination was filed. Examiner Smith replied, no request found.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Matthew J. Smith
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)